EXHIBIT I

Page 1 1 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 3 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Case No. 03-MDL-1570 (GBD) (SN) 4 5 IN RE: TERRORIST ATTACKS ON 6 7 SEPTEMBER 11, 2001 ----x 8 9 April 12, 2021 10 10:30 a.m. 11 Videotaped Deposition via Zoom 12 of BRIAN M. JENKINS, pursuant to Notice, 13 before Jineen Pavesi, a Registered Professional Reporter, Registered Merit 14 15 Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter and 16 Notary Public of the State of New York. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

```
Page 2
1
2
    APPEARANCES:
    COZEN O'CONNOR PC
3
    1650 Market Street, Suite 2800
    One Liberty Place
4
    Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
5
         Attorneys for Plaintiffs
         J. SCOTT TARBUTTON, ESQ.
    BY:
         scarter@cozen.com
6
7
    COZEN O'CONNOR PC
    1055 Franklin Avenue
    Garden City, New York 11530-2903
8
         Attorneys for Plaintiffs
         SEAN CARTER, ESQ.
9
    BY:
         scarter1@cozen.com
10
    ANDERSON KILL P.C.
11
    1251 Avenue of the Americas
    New York, New York 10020
12
         Attorneys for Plaintiff O'Neill and
         Plaintiffs' Executive Committee
13
    BY:
         JERRY S. GOLDMAN, ESQ.
         jgoldman@andersonkill.com
         BRUCE STRONG, ESQ.
14
         bstrong@andersonkill.com
15
    MOTLEY RICE, LLC
16
    28 Bridgeside Boulevard
    Mount Pleasant, South Carolina 29465
17
         Attorneys for Plaintiffs in Burnett
         Case and Plaintiffs' Executive
18
         Committee for Personal Injury and
         Death Claims and Witness
19
         ROBERT T. HAEFELE, ESQ.
    BY:
         rhaefele@motleyrice.com
20
         C. ROSS HEYL, ESQ.
         rheyl@motleyrice.com
21
         JODI FLOWERS, ESQ.
         jflowers@motleyrice.com
22
         JOHN EUBANKS, ESQ.
23
24
25
```

Page 75 1 **JENKINS** 2 Q. And do you agree with footnote 3 1 to Chapter 5 of the 9/11 Commission Report as published on FED PEC 0076072 of 4 5 Exhibit 2026? 6 They begin working with --7 begin working with, I am going to talk 8 about that phrase there. 9 KSM we know, and this is also 10 from the 9/11 Report, spoke with bin Ladin 11 in 1996 and pitched the idea of some type 12 of operation involving airplanes. 13 Bin Ladin did not -- bin Ladin 14 invited Khalid Sheikh Mohammed to join Al 15 Qaeda at that time, but Khalid Sheikh 16 Mohammed did not and so this would be 17 referred to, you know, really in a sense a 18 more formalization of a relationship. 19 But Khalid Sheikh Mohammed 20 remained committed to this operation and 21 was anxious for bin Ladin's support for 22 it, but at the same time Khalid Sheikh 23 Mohammed really valued his independent 24 status and did not want to formally join

Al Qaeda and so this represents more of a

Page 76 1 **JENKINS** 2 formalization of the relationship. 3 0. When you say this represents more of a formalization of the 4 5 relationship, you mean when KSM began 6 working more closely with Osama bin Ladin 7 in 1998 or 1999? 8 Α. Yes. 9 MR. COTTREAU: If I could have 10 the assistant please turn to the next page 11 and highlight for me footnote 10 on the 12 next page. 13 Mr. Jenkins, I am going to have Q. you take a look at footnote 10 to Chapter 14 15 5 of the 9/11 Commission Report, which is 16 at FED PEC 0076073 of Exhibit 2026, and if 17 you could please read that aloud for me. 18 Α. "Intelligence report, 19 interrogation of KSM, January 9, 2004. Ιn 20 another interrogation report, however, KSM 21 downplays the significance of his 22 relationship to Yousef in enabling him to 23 meet with bin Ladin. Specifically, KSM 24 notes that Yousef was not a member of Al

Qaeda and that Yousef never met bin Ladin.

Page 94 1 **JENKINS** 2 airliners flying across the Pacific"? 3 Yes, but I would add to that Α. again, we've had this discussion before, 4 5 you know, as I say, it was not -- Bojinka 6 was not an Al Qaeda operation, it was 7 carried out by individuals who were part 8 of this broader constellation and who had 9 previously, in some case, had previously 10 had connections with Al Qaeda or 11 subsequently had connections with Al 12 Qaeda. 13 But the specific operation was 14 not an Al Qaeda operation. 15 So, yes, I agree with this 16 statement, but with that additional 17 statement. 18 Q. Thank you. 19 MR. COTTREAU: Michael, you can 20 take the exhibit down. 21 Mr. Jenkins, do you believe 22 that Islam is a religion of peace? 23 MR. EUBANKS: Object to form, 24 outside the scope. 25 You know, do I believe --Α.

JENKINS

But, No. 1, what do they say; now, when bin Ladin was alive, he regularly communicated at length in terms of various speeches and communications, so did Zawahiri, so did some of the others in the group, so that's No. 1.

No. 2, this goes back primarily before, but in some cases immediately after 9/11, bin Ladin and Zawahiri gave interviews to the press and so you have what they said in those.

There is also material that Al Qaeda strategists, et cetera, have written about their view, so you have what they said, what they wrote, what they said in interviews, and moreover, then you have their actions, what were their, you know, what they did as evidence of how they viewed things and how they did things and intentions.

Does that reflect the entire membership of Al Qaeda, no, because you have individuals, and this is especially in the post 9/11 environment, who claim to

Page 130 1 **JENKINS** 2 material and to just portray that in a 3 clear, succinct fashion. 4 There's not a paragraph that is labeled methodology, but I do say that, 5 6 you know, look, what was I going for. 7 It looked at the operation 8 primarily from Al Qaeda's point of view 9 that would discard a lot of the 10 literature, it looks at it, for example, 11 post 9/11, how did this happen, how did we 12 screw up intelligence, what were the 13 vulnerabilities at airports, why was the investigation flawed, things of this sort. 14 15 Not relevant to my purpose, 16 which was to look at it from Al Qaeda's 17 point of view, what were they trying to 18 do, what kind of problems in terms of 19 planning or mobilization resources did 20 they run into along the way, you know, how 21 did they manage to overcome these 22 obstacles. 23 It was pretty straightforward, 24 what was the available evidence. 25 Clearly the 9/11 Report was --

JENKINS

in which I say "see discussion of these issues in Chapter 1, Chapter 5 and Chapter 7," and I cite those, those would cover a number of them, that doesn't get into this area.

Now, look, again, and I realize

-- I don't mean to be chubbing back and

forth and I don't see this as an

adversarial proceeding, or it is, but the

fact is that, yes, there are a lot of

things in my head and they refer to a

lifetime of previous research and in some

cases, as to men are willing to die and

common supply, but never before had a

terrorist organization mobilized so many

suicide operatives to work together in a

single operation.

I can actually demonstrate that historically, but it is one of those things that quite frankly is inside my head.

In fact, one of the -- I am not going to get into, this was another analytical debate, we can see one or two

JENKINS

use U.S. telephone books and the Internet,
rent an apartment, read airline

4 timetables, and make travel reservations."

If I wanted to understand what the basis was for that sentence in your report, how would I do that as a person reviewing the historical work?

A. Source of the 9/11 Commission and again a lot of this is based upon the chapters that I identified from the 9/11 Commission and back to footnote 30, but I thought there was one other one here.

(Witness perusing document.)

A. In this final sequence of events, and perhaps I was remiss in this, but in the final sequence of events, this comes primarily out of, apart from those that I have already pointed out as my own surmise, the 9/11 Commission and I was saying now we're into the final, in a sense, live past, and these things have been well-covered in the press, been covered in the 9/11 Commission, that these are statements of fact based upon

JENKINS

Why I am familiar with his statement, I did not know, I misunderstood you, Steve, actually, when you said did I read any of the defendants' reports.

And I did not include in my head a rebuttal, I thought there was an original set of reports as were prepared by the plaintiffs' counsel, a set of reports that one could look at, and I did not look at those.

I did absolutely look at Mark Sageman's rebuttal, it was sent to me, I looked at it, and I looked at it very carefully to see if there was something where there would be a fundamental disagreement with something that I said.

As I say, there is no disagreement on our characterization of the 9/11 Report, other than an issue of whether the Bojinka plot was a predecessor or a precedent or a precursor for the 9/11 attacks.

Mr. Sageman said it was not, I believe that it was.

Page 226 1 **JENKINS** 2 and I will reask my question. 3 Got it, got it, got it. Α. The last sentence of the second 4 Q. 5 full paragraph on page 11 of your report 6 reads, "The operation was reportedly 7 financed in part by bin Ladin and other 8 Islamist extremists." 9 What does "the operation" mean? 10 Α. It would refer to Bojinka. 11 Can you make a list for me of 0. 12 each and every piece of evidence that 13 supports the conclusion that the Bojinka 14 plot was reportedly financed in part by 15 bin Ladin. 16 Again, that would take time to 17 do, but I could. 18 I don't want to have us spend 19 time and if you want me to submit 20 something or my lawyers agree for me to 21 submit something following to do that, but 22 I don't have all of my material here. 23 I have deliberately not had 24 anything on my desk here to refer to and 25 so it will take some time to do it.

Page 293 1 2 CERTIFICATION 3 4 5 I, Jineen Pavesi, a Registered 6 7 Professional Reporter, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter and 8 a Notary Public, do hereby certify that 9 10 the foregoing witness, BRIAN M. JENKINS, 11 was duly sworn on the date indicated, and 12 that the foregoing is a true and accurate 13 transcription of my stenographic notes. 14 I further certify that I am not employed 15 by nor related to any party to this 16 action. 17 18 19 Linear Paresi RPR, RMR. 20 21 22 23 24 JINEEN PAVESI, RPR, RMR, CRR 25

1			
			Page 294
1			
2	EX	H I B I T S	
3			
4	Defendants'		
5	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
6			
7	Exhibit 2025	Jenkins Expert	20
8		Report	
9	Exhibit 2026	Bates stamped FED	71
10		PEC 75569, 75729	
11		through 75757, and	
12		76072 through 76083	
13	Exhibit 2027	FED PEC 75754	8 6
14	Exhibit 2028	transcript of	91
15		witness's testimony	
16		presented before	
17		the House Armed	
18		Services Committee,	
19		Subcommittee on	
20		Emerging Threats	
21		and Capabilities,	
22		on or about June	
23		22, 2011	
24	Exhibit 2029	expert report of	113
25		witness in	

		Page 295
1		
2	connection with the	
3	Weiss v. National	
4	Westminster case on	
5	or about March 4,	
6	2011	
7	Exhibit 2030 copy of an expert	116
8	report by witness	
9	in connection with	
10	Strauss versus	
11	Credit Lyonnnais	
12	case dated July 15,	
13	2010	
14		
15	EXAMINATION BY:	
16	MR. COTTREAU: PAGE 9	
17	MR. NASSAR: PAGE 239	
18	MR. MOHAMEDDI: PAGE 283	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

ERRATA SHEET Veritext/New York Reporting, LLC 1-800-727-6396 330 Old Country Road 1250 Broadway Mineola, New York 11501 New York, New Yor Mame of Case: Terrorist Attacks of 9/11 Date of Deposition: 4/12/21 Mame of Deponent: Brian M. Jenkins Page Line Change Reaso
Veritext/New York Reporting, LLC 1-800-727-6396 330 Old Country Road 1250 Broadway Mineola, New York 11501 New York, New Yor Mame of Case: Terrorist Attacks of 9/11 Date of Deposition: 4/12/21 Mame of Deponent: Brian M. Jenkins Page Line Change Reaso
1-800-727-6396 330 Old Country Road 1250 Broadway Mineola, New York 11501 New York, New Yor Mame of Case: Terrorist Attacks of 9/11 Date of Deposition: 4/12/21 Mame of Deponent: Brian M. Jenkins Page Line Change Reaso
S30 Old Country Road 1250 Broadway Sineola, New York 11501 New York, New Yor Same of Case: Terrorist Attacks of 9/11 Sate of Deposition: 4/12/21 Same of Deponent: Brian M. Jenkins Sage Line Change Reaso
Name of Case: Terrorist Attacks of 9/11 Nate of Deposition: 4/12/21 Name of Deponent: Brian M. Jenkins Page Line Change Reaso
Name of Case: Terrorist Attacks of 9/11 Date of Deposition: 4/12/21 Name of Deponent: Brian M. Jenkins Page Line Change Reaso
Pate of Deposition: 4/12/21 Name of Deponent: Brian M. Jenkins Page Line Change Reaso
Tame of Deponent: Brian M. Jenkins Page Line Change Reaso
Page Line Change Reaso